

Kenneth A. Gallo (*pro hac vice*)
Joseph J. Simons (*pro hac vice*)
Craig A. Benson (*pro hac vice*)
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
2001 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1047
Telephone: (202) 223-7300
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com
Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com
Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com

Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)
Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP
One Ferry Building, Suite 355
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 788-8200
Facsimile: (415) 788-8208
Email: staylor@tcolaw.com
Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation and
Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-cv-5944 (SC)
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates To:

Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al.,
No. 13-cv-1173;

Electrograph Systems, Inc. et al. v. Technicolor SA,
et al., No. 13-cv-05724;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;

Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.,
No. 13-cv-05264;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-
05686;

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO SHORTEN TO TIME
TO ENFORCE THE COURT'S
ORDER RE: THOMSON
DISCOVERY BY IMPOSING
DEADLINE ON THOMSON SA'S
PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

1 *Interbond Corporation of America v. Technicolor*
SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05727;
2
3 *Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-
cv-05726;
4
5 *Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Technicolor SA, et*
al., No. 13-cv-05723;
6
7 *P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation, et al.*
v. *Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05725;
8
9 *Schultze Agency Services, LLC v. Technicolor SA,*
Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-05668;
10
11 *Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.*
Technicolor SA, No. 3:13-cv-05262;
12
13 *Tech Data Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No.
13-cv-00157;
14
15 *Crago, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.*, No. 14-cv-
02058.

16
17 Upon consideration of Direct Action Plaintiffs' Motion, it is hereby:

18 ORDERED that the Motion is hereby GRANTED; and it is further

19 ORDERED that the last day for Thomson to file an opposition to the Motion to
20 Enforce the Court's Order re: Thomson Discovery by Imposing Deadline on Thomson SA's
21 Production of Documents ("Motion to Enforce") is November 14, 2014, that a reply brief shall
22 be filed no later than November 17, 2014, and that the Motion to Enforce be deemed submitted
23 for immediate determination without hearing on the day after the reply brief is filed.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 DATED: _____

26
27 HON. SAMUEL CONTI
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE